

1. 7
2. male
3. 32 years old
4. Baku, Azerbaijan
5. Baku, Azerbaijan
6. Azerbaijan and Georgia
7. No party
8. Journalist
9. Social network, journalists
10. Frequently
11. Azerbaijani and Russian speaking

## **Summary**

### **Part I**

Freedom. If the human is free, then that person can provide his/her own security as well as other rights. If the person lives in a free society, then all of his/her rights are protected.

Not government. Municipalities should involve in this process. It is not duty of the government. But, unfortunately, municipalities do not have status and they cannot do anything in Azerbaijan.

Women should have right of abortion. Sometimes, undesired sexual activates might happen. It might be voluntarily or involuntarily. In general, I am against it. But, in some cases, they have to have right to do abortions.

Yes. Azerbaijan should receive migrants and refugees, but in limitation because, Azerbaijan has its own IDPs and refugees. If the budget of Azerbaijan enables to do it, then the government should receive some amount of refugees.

Ethnic minorities should have rights to study in their native language in the schools, not universities. Since, different people from different ethnic minorities study at the university, some people wouldn't prefer to study in the language of specific ethnic minority. But, this rights should be recognized for each ethnic minorities, where they are compactly settled there.

Cultural and ethnic diversity as an enrichment. Threat emerges, whenever the rights of ethnic minorities are violated by the majority. It is more beautiful, as much as we have ethnic minorities. But, they have to be represented in the governance of country in different level.

Humans are part of the society, and the government govern the society. There is relationship between these phenomena. If the government is fair, then the government will receive that support. This happens in ideal state, otherwise, not.

### **Part II**

I am a human. But, I have polo identity. I am expert here, but husband with my wife or son with my parents. Whenever, I meet a person abroad, I mention where I am from, then I introduced my profession.

I prefer to question people who is involved in that religion, but religion itself. I am not religious person, I am just simple Muslim. For example, my wife is religious one. When when I talk to her, I realize that Islam provides numbers of freedoms. But, when I meet other religious person,

**Interview #000 | 10/12/2016 | Duration: 50 mins 45 seconds.**

s/he thinks opposite and start to talk about prohibitions. This is just individual approach. That's why, it is difficult to generalize and answer to this question.

Nation is ID of a person. I belong to this nation, which is impossible to change nation. There is no connection between nation and territory or language.

Physical borders should exist between the countries. Europe passed some process until they managed to create Schengen zone. But, in current circumstances borders are important in the modern world.

Dissolution of borders between through internet and social networks are very good. It is so easy, less costly to keep contact with others in different parts of the world. Borders should exist between countries, not people.

Restoration of historical borders would only cause war and bloodshed. Let's take, Crimea between Russia and Ukraine, as an example. You see what has been happening there. But, it might be without war through referendum. Not like in Russia, it should be fair and free referendum. Let's take people living non-recognized governments. These people do not have basic rights, since they are not part of any legitimate county. Of course, people from South Ossetia use Russian passport., it is not right way of living.

### **Part III**

Resolution of the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict depends on the political will of both conflicting sides. None of third parties solve this conflict on behalf of us. Ordinary people, journalist can only contribute to this process, they are not main actors.

Culprits are actors who are involved in the resolution process. One of these leaders in Armenia and Azerbaijan has not been elected in democratic way. They are not representing "their citizens". That's why, they don't feel responsibility to solve it.

I see the resolution of the conflict with respect to the territorial integrity of Azerbaijan, as mentioned in charters of the UN. But, opposite side does not want to accept any proposal so far. There is no need to go to the history. From (international) law perspective or Soviet constitutions, Nagorno-Karabakh is supposed to be part of Azerbaijan. We can governance of the Nagorno-Karabakh to the Armenians living there. But, they cannot have provided with full freedom. They can be proposed some motivational options to get agree to live under the jurisdiction of Azerbaijan. Liberalization of both countries, holding democratic elections would accelerate the peaceful resolution process of this conflict.

Living together will be very easy process. Except few people from both sides, majority will definitely get happy to live together. We have example of people living in Georgia, Russia. Media will also stop to spread hatred-feelings, propaganda. Civil society will also work on this issue, which will positively contribute to whole process.

Officially, the biggest enemy of Azerbaijan may be Armenian government, not Armenians. They are not our enemies. Terrorists, religious radicalism also can be considered biggest enemy. But, they are enemy of whole world. Except Armenians, almost all of them can be considered as enemy of Azerbaijan.

From law and geographic perspective, Nagorno-Karabakh belongs to Azerbaijan. This is recognized by international law and community as well as different main actors. But, everybody can live there, including, Armenians, Azerbaijanis, Lithuanians and so on.

**Interview #000 | 10/12/2016 | Duration: 50 mins 45 seconds.**

Only parties of this conflict can solve this conflict, I mean Armenia and Azerbaijan. But, there is alternative way, - war is the last option.

There are numbers of precedents to apply for the Nagorno-Karabakh case. For example, Tataristan, which is proposed by Azerbaijan and this precedent provides unlimited status. Or, Aland Island model. Or, South Tyrol.