

Interview 19.L | Duration: 25 mins 35sec

1. L
2. male
3. 1973
4. Baku, Azerbaijan
5. Azerbaijan, also abroad
6. No party membership
7. Academic work
8. www.deyerler.org
9. once a month
10. Russian speaking

Summary

Part I

Each of this concept can be based in a specific paradigm that might clash with my faith. In a discourse, they are spelled easily. But in implementation, it is complicated. Even the Hitler might have wanted to ensure security or Bush might thought that he was ensuring freedom. As a researcher on philosophy, I am aware that, these concepts have burden of meaning behind them and it can be interpreted differently. Defining my life concept as a servant of God allows me to prioritize it more than any other concept. For me, dignity of human comes first.

Government should take care off few issues. People should take responsibility for many activities. People should self-organize and self-govern themselves. Government should intervene only when there is a need.

Is defining abortion means killing a human? Should killing a human be allowed? I think, no. According to my faith, the fetus inside a mother is human. After 4 month, spirit enters to his/her body and he/she is total human. After 4 month, abort should not be absolutely allowed, according to my faith. Before 4 month, except for some cases like severe inherited disease, or disabilities, it is a great sin. There should be an awareness raising among people to stop doing sex-selective abortion, to kill their girl child or unwanted child.

Through keeping some standards, it is possible to accept new refugees and immigrants.

Overall, each country should have official state language. Meanwhile, each ethnic group should have a right to express themselves fully in their language. It is also possible to have more than one official state language.

I do not think ethnic and cultural diversity is catastrophe. If people will have same faith, same believe and values, ethnicity and culture is not very essential. Meaning that, the color, ethnicity of the person does not matter, if that person has good values and believes.

It is a difficult question. Definition of state in Soviets aimed to secure the authoritarian regime. There should be balance. Government should be for people. People are not there for state. Government should maintain all possibilities for good life and after-life of people. State should help people to realize themselves, should not barrier them. In general, economy should be liberal from government. However, in case if citizens delegate for the interfere of the government to the economy, government can control it.

Part II

Interview 19.L | Duration: 25 mins 35sec

I am a person trying to be the servant of God. This is my main identity. All of my other identities are based under this identity. I might rank differently my identity depending on the environment I am asked it. Therefore the mono identity I can emphasize is being the servant of God. All others eliminates in death.

I think, humankind is facing distance crisis. The post-modern area is a transition period bringing crisis. I think the questioning the existence of a human is very important: asking where he comes from, where he is and where he goes. Humankind is imitating on what they should do by avoiding to answer these questions. There should be common faith to keep this existence. More than 5 centuries humankind is facing disasters.

Nation is a group of people sharing same values within on state. Even by dividing humans into ethnicity does not matter much. Because the root of all humans is the same: Adam. Therefore, for me *Ummah* exists: people sharing common faith, values, culture. In addition, the followers of all mono-theistic religions are very dear to me. We share many common values.

It is better if the borders are as much transparent as possible. Internet is a blessing and usage of all blessings is good.

If it is about restoring the historical borders of Azerbaijan or Islam *Ummah*, it should absolutely happen. Not restoring it will bring injustices. It is possible to restore it though peace, negotiations, understanding. I do not exclude the notion of war in restoring historical borders, if there is a need.

Part III

I do not consider it a conflict. One side has occupied the territories of other side. It is not solved yet because of the interests of super powers. In addition, the whole society has not prepared enough to solve it.

We are the ones to blame. We have given the territories long before giving them physically. We needed to be ready to save our territories. It is the responsibility of all of us to protect ourselves.

The solution is that, our state will develop, will have strong military and people will have faith. It would be better if it will happen through economic political social reformations. If it is still impossible, then though military power territories should be back. It should not aim to strengthen the regime.

The generations are changing. The next generation will feel less pain. However, everyone will take historical lessons after the resolution of the conflict.

I do not think these groups can be concretely defined as an enemy. The biggest enemy of Azerbaijan is not having rule of law, not having an opportunity to live faithfully and well off. We should not seek for enemy outside. There is no qualified court, no education, no health system, and no sewerage within the state.

These lands are daru-islam territories. These territories belongs to Islam faith Azerbaijanis.

I think our people is able to solve this conflict.

Past-experiences demonstrates that the territories do not liberate unless people fight for it.