

1. B
2. male
3. 30 years old
4. Baku, Azerbaijan
5. Bachelor's degree, Azerbaijan State Medical University, Azerbaijan
6. ---
7. Internet
8. ---
9. Azerbaijani, Russian, German.

Summary

Part I

Preservation of the fundamental rights and laws in the society is more important owing to the reason that, according to the situation in our region, people witness the deprivation from fundamental rights in everyday life. Unless one needs to build up the pyramid of needs, first and foremost, it comes fundamental rights, then liberal values, and after it cultural rights.

Government has to take care of the cleaning the town, and also it possess the responsibility for this.

Decision for abortion totally depends on woman herself, and, from the perspective of medicine, during the initial two months of pregnancy abortion is absolutely free decision of woman, if she wants, she can do it.

It is elusive to say that Azerbaijan should accept the refugees, and construct the sentence in imperative way. However, Azerbaijan may accept refugees. Nowadays, Europe is more capable in order to host refugees, because of the economic power. And it wouldn't be appropriate unless we host refugees, but cannot guarantee their ordinary rights.

Education in own language for ethnic minorities can be occurred on the cultural base of these minorities. For instance, Kurds in Turkey have such kind of base, however for those who don't possess such kind of base, it would be better to with the media in mother language, and after we can think about the university using mother language of particular ethnic minority.

Of course, the existence of ethnic minority within a framework of borders of any state is advantage for that state, because, it brings the diverse opinions and pluralism. It is also the sign of freedom. So, attitude of state towards them is the indicator of the freedom.

“Helping state and economy is more necessary rather than helping people and society” – the sentence is logically wrong. Because according to the Marxist theory, the economy is the basis for the prosperity of people.

It is very hard to answer whether the state should control the economy or not. But I think, yes, it should. Generally, it should. There must be the control over regulations and tax system.

Part II

I am an ordinary citizen, beside of this, in relevance of my profession, a doctor, and according to my political view, Marxist.

Firstly it comes to my mind that, I am a citizen of the world, owing to the current status of mine.

In general, the religion is the product of Fascism. So, the religion is the legalized form of hatred and discrimination among humans; accepted and in some extent legalized form.

Nation is the understanding that aggregates the traditions and customs which associated with the same language. Let's say, the culture of the language. It can be music, literature and etc.

Borders must exist with radical religious states, and in some extent it is compulsory. For instance, Europe is currently experiencing this problem. Let's say religious and motiveless crimes; in some extent, reason behind it is the religion. Backing to this fact, there must be borders.

In reality, there is no any border in virtual world. It can both positive and negative and for me it is positive. However, we have to consider that religious people also use the same media tools, in order to implement their programs and propaganda.

In general, historical borders are relative and because of changeability, it can create the dissension and bloody struggle. Even the claim for it (historical border – red) can create the dissension. As we witnessed it, our military serviceman went to Hungary, and assassinated another military serviceman.

Part III

Problem in minds, as well as, the status of Azerbaijan as peripheral capitalist, puppet state is the causes of Nagorno Karabakh conflict has not been solved yet. We have the politics which includes absurd phrases such as “independent politics”. Now it is the capitalist period; period which is called Neo-liberalism and comes after the period of Liberalism, according to Marxist philosophers. This programme was established in 1970s by “Chicago boys” is prone to cause new war and conflict points, and considers the conduction of total chaos. There is a Marxist thinker called Samir Ali, who even before the 9/11 incident wrote about this, and I think that history is still continuing. So, there is no liberal “end of the history”.

As I said, the guilty of Nagorno Karabakh conflict is current world politics. There are big powers of the nowadays' world, that we cannot neither resist, nor revolt against them.

Nobody could suppose the conflict in 1960s, but today's capitalist order, which traces back, let's say, 26 years before, is exacerbating the situation through the manipulating the mass media. Unless we take into consideration the last “4 days war”, we can see how the media represented the accidents as our heroism. However, afterwards it became clear that territories were not liberated from the invasion. And, in a nutshell, human lost. Simply human lost.

In order to imagine the future of Nagorno Karabakh after resolution of the conflict one has to approach the circumstance in optimistic way. We have to show to ordinary people the

possibilities of welfare society, and make them to believe that the conflict was not because of the ordinary people; they are not guilty. We can also show the example of Canada how the English and the French co-authored Canada without leading the situation to bloody struggle, for co-existence of Armenians and Azerbaijanis in Karabakh and depict how ethnic groups live together in various places.

Neither Russia, nor the West, but the Capitalism is the enemy.

In general, the land doesn't belong to particular identity, it belongs to all people. And any person can live there.

For instance, in Congo war encompassed 20 years, and nobody knew exact actors of this war till one journalist started to investigate what is happening there. Non-ferrous metal, which is the property of the people of Congo, as raw material were exploiting by multinational corporation – “Nokia”. So, in our case, we don't know the real reasons of the conflict. So, it is the “spectacle society”, that's why we don't know who can solve this conflict.

I have no any prerequisite knowledge regarding models which can be applied, but I think, historically combination and reconciliation of cultures can be a good model, as if n the case of, Germany and France.