

1. P
2. male
3. 1982
4. Azerbaijan
5. Baku, Azerbaijan
6. Bachelor's degree, Azerbaijan
7. Agh (White) Party
8. Mostly online media, social media, some Euro news and Turkish TVs
9. not often
10. Azerbaijani speaking, speaks also Russian

Summary

Part I

All four components are combined within freedom. All four components are essential for development of normal society and state. Freedom ensures security, political stability and protection of fundamental rights. In Eastern mentality this is very common. If you look at our National Freedom Movement, the main slogan was freedom. It was neither protection of rights, nor economic freedom, nor independence, while they were all essential components. The movement was asking for freedom.

Government is totally responsible for the cleaning of town. It is also a good advertisement of the city for the foreigners.

It depends on individuals. I think banning or giving a right to abort should not be in the legislation. It is the matter of rights and faith. Government should control it. In the east, culture boy child is preferred more than girl child is. Therefore, blindly allowing abortion might lead to sex-selective abortions and decreasing of girl child in the society. However, banning or allowing abortion is an artificial issue, it should not be either this way or that way.

This is about the humanity. Of course, we should welcome new immigrants and refugees, unless we have enough economic resources. Each refugee groups also brings their own mini-culture with themselves. If your own culture will not degenerate within those mini-cultures. Government should manage that this does not happen. However all those immigrants immigrate because of their needs. We should be liberal to welcome them. Not like how they behaved against refugees in Europe. It is not right to be so unhumanistic.

As mentioned in the constitution, all these ethnic groups should have a right to express their language, their culture. However, it should not contradict or threaten the freedoms, security and stability of the state. I believe that society itself manages this process. It is not rentable to have a university for an ethnic minority, which have 2000 population. In any case, they should have a right to study and use their language, like we ask in South Azerbaijan (Iran) or in Uyghur (China).

Ethnic and cultural diversity is enrichment of the society. However, it depends on democracy, economic development and power of the state. If you are not developed and not democratic then this diversity might be threat. If you are a strong developed state like USA, ethnic, religious and cultural diversity is enrichment. Moreover, if we compare Japan and Armenia, which are mono ethnic countries, one is developed and other is not. This means that it depends on the internal policy of the state. Overall, I support the diversity, because there is nothing harmful in eating

from Turkish cuisine in the morning, having lunch and supper from the cuisine of other ethnic groups. It is enrichment.

I believe that all political systems exist in order to serve for people, for society. I would not support the idea of helping government first. The government, the system should maintain the existence of the society, not the vice versa.

Part II

As a conservative person, my identity can be mono conservative identity. However, as a political figure I cannot have mono identity. I should not choose one group of society and back up the other groups. In my family, I hold some values, which I cannot convince to the whole society. My personal and political identity is different.

My relation to each identity depends on the environment I am in. For instance, within my family being father is the main part of my identity. In some international conferences, being Azerbaijani citizen is the main part of my identity. Among my friends, being a good friend is a part of my identity. If a comet and the Earth is going to have a clash, I will present upon my world citizenship. On the other hand, if the holes in the ozone layer is enlarging, I would response to it as a world citizen.

If I answer as a political, I would define religion as morals, person have a right to gain or to refuse. Religion is a culture, tradition, way of living, approaches to the happening around us. Religion is one of the choices human are making. Regarding the usage of religion for bad or good, it depends. It is almost like asking whether the invention of Kalashnikov (gun) was for good or bad. It depends who is holding this gun. It depends who is using religion. Religion for me personally gives good all the time. I assume that without religion I would be an egoistic person.

Nation is genetic relations, blood memory that does not depend on language or territory. As a Turk nation, we live in different territories, but we are same nation. In Azerbaijan both Turks and other ethnic groups speaks Turkish language, while it does not make those ethnic groups a part of Turkish nation.

In today's world, having borders is important. People has not reached the level of understanding that they can live without borders. The best example of globalization and elimination of border is Europe, while culturally and economically developed Great Britain is planning to leave it. I think genetically people have a need to have borders, to know the edge lines of their houses.

Having social media and internet is good, in terms of education, sharing cultures and bringing people together. A student is able to access to resources all around the world, or we can easily share our culture and reach our friends overseas. Nevertheless, there are bad sides like drug and human trafficking though internet. Again, it depends who is using internet and social media. Overall, I believe people are benefiting from it.

Obviously today if the member states of UN start restoring their historical borders, it will lead to war and bloodshed. Borders since the 2nd world has not changed much. And I do not think that people's life standards increase by restoring the historical borders. In Kuril islands, people participating in the survey has preferred to be a part of Japan, not Russia. In Cyprus the Turkish young people prefer to be a part of Greece who is a member of EU. It demonstrates that in 21th century personal welfare is more important than Empires.

Part III

Nagorno Karabakh conflict is not solved because of the clash of super powers in this region. The interest of Russia, USA and some states in Europe does not allow the rapid solution of the conflict. Despite of all wars, traumatic events, lost, I have a hope that Azerbaijan and Armenia is able to solve the conflict themselves, and people can live together again. We are tolerant nation. Conflict is not solved also because of lack of willingness. Current government does not want to solve the conflict, because they want to keep excuse for not being able fully maintain freedoms of people. Opposition do not want government to solve it. Super power state want to keep influencing on Armenia and Azerbaijan, sell weapons, therefore, they are also unwilling to solve this conflict.

Super power states are once to blame. We are tolerant nation and we forget the past easily. It is possible to solve this conflict in a week or month. If Azerbaijani and Armenian businessmen is able to collaborate today in France or England, it shows that in the future it will be absolutely possible.

The state who wants to solve the conflict should work on various directions. For instance, in April war, the actions of Azerbaijan government did not work because of not having clear international policy or economic development within the country. It will be a failure to attempt to free Karabakh through peaceful ways, through negotiations with OSCE or UN. It also will be failure to attempt to increase welfare and economic situation of the state. The best solution is to attempt them altogether, parallel. If Azerbaijan will be economically developed and have strong army, we will be able to show the world that we can bring back Karabakh in a week. This will be a pressure to speed the negotiations. Internally the national reconciliation between society, government and opposition will also speed up the negotiations and conflict resolution. It is essential to be a powerful state, not for speeding war, but for speeding and pressuring the negotiations. If you want peace, you should be ready for war. Of course, I would not prefer to have the death of my family members, relatives, generally all humans through war. If I had an opportunity, I would buy those territories with money. Human death is a disaster.

The living together will be easy. There will be less aggression. There are many people with directly and somehow affected by conflict. The people will be free from those psychological traumas, along with increased life standards of the people. Both societies will benefit from the fair solution that not only governments proposes, but also societies agree. Armenians will benefit more, I think.

I do not think Armenia is our enemy. Alternatively, Russia or West, who is quite large and have important issues, large economics is neither our enemy. Our biggest enemy is illiteracy: religious, academic, political, military illiteracy. If the nation suffers today, it is the guilt of nation itself. For instance, Israel is a small state, located in challenged Middle East, with less population, not much resources is developed state because of the education, because of the literacy.

Karabakh as a territory belongs to Azerbaijan state. However, it does not means that Armenians should not live there. They belong there, they live there, and today in the elections, they even have names on the election voters list, so they can vote in Azerbaijan.

We should not forget that we have eastern mentality. In east, the leader is very important. Leader is the main decision maker. We have a need for a leader who is able to develop the state, military and to be politically powerful to influence the resolution. For instance in Turkey the Erdogan personality is essential and associated with the development of Turkey, or previously Turgot

Interview 11.P | 10/17/2016 | Duration: 35mins 44 sec.

Ozal personality was an asset for the development of Turkey. If Azerbaijan will have a visible leader, who will build trust with society with Russia or West will solve this conflict.

I think all conflicts are very specific. Nagorno Karabakh has its own specificity; we cannot apply other models to solve it. We can only apply some components of those models to keep ceasefire, to bring conflict transformation or reconciliation. And this model should be also developed with participation of people.